

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/696,363 10/25/2000		Swarn S. Kalsi	05770-146001	4609	
75	590 05/28/2002				
Gary A. Walp		EXAMINER			
Fish & Richard 225 Franklin St	reet	MULLINS, BURTON S			
Boston, MA 0	2110-2804		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2834		
			DATE MAILED: 05/28/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	-		_		1
		Application No.		Applicant(s)	
		09/696,363		KALSI	
Office Action Summary		Examiner		Art Unit	
	,	Burton S. Mullins		2834	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap				dress
Period fo		,		•	
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perioc re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuely received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the maximum statutory. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	.136(a). In no event, how ply within the statutory mir d will apply and will expire te, cause the application t	ever, may a reply be tim imum of thirty (30) days SIX (6) MONTHS from to become ABANDONEI	ely filed will be considered timel the mailing date of this co (35 U.S.C. § 133).	y. ommunication.
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28	March 2002 .			
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ T	his action is non-fi	nal.		
3) <u> </u>	Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice unde on of Claims	vance except for for for For For Ex parte Quayle,	ormal matters, pr 1935 C.D. 11, 4	osecution as to th 53 O.G. 213.	e merits is
4) 🛛	Claim(s) 1-31 is/are pending in the application	on.			
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr	awn from consider	ation.		
5)⊠	Claim(s) 25,26 and 31 is/are allowed.				
6)⊠	Claim(s) 1-3,27 and 28 is/are rejected.				
7) 🖂	Claim(s) <u>4-24,29 and 30</u> is/are objected to.				
-	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election require	ment.		
Applicati	on Papers				
•	The specification is objected to by the Examin				
10) 🗌 .	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to t				
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on			ved by the Examin	er.
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in r		tion.		
12)[The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer.			
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	gn priority under 3	5 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)[☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:				
	1. Certified copies of the priority documer	nts have been rece	eived.		
	2. Certified copies of the priority documer	nts have been rece	ived in Applicati	on No	
* 5	3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International B See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	Bureau (PCT Rule	17.2(a)).		Stage
14) 🗌 A	acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	stic priority under 3	5 U.S.C. § 119(e	e) (to a provisiona	l application).
)				
Attachmen	t(s)				
2) Notic 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	4)		(PTO-413) Paper No Patent Application (PT	
S. Patent and T TO-326 (Re	rademark Office V. 04-01) Office	Action Summary		Part	of Paper No. 8

Office Action Summary

Art Unit: 2834

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 2. Claims 1-3 and 27-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fritz et al. (US 4,204,134) in view of Lloyd (US 5,066,638). Fritz teaches a coolant loop system for a superconducting rotor including: a superconducting field winding 7 and support (corresponding to the enclosure for winding 7; Fig.2); and a thermal reserve comprising damper 13 arranged "on" the winding and support since the damper is part of the rotor unit 18. The damper 13 is further coupled thermally to the field winding via a helium coolant system with tubes 6/12, evaporator 9 and mixing chamber 4. The coolant system maintains the temperature of the field winding at super-cooled temperatures of about 4.5 degrees K (c.3, lines 61-65). The coolant system also maintains the temperature of the damper at about 5-7 degrees K. Thus, the temperature differential between the field winding and the damper is not greater than 10 degrees K.

Fritz does not teach high-temperature superconductors, per se.

Lloyd teaches a high-temperature superconducting rotor. The benefits of high-temperature superconductors include improved efficiency through reduced winding losses and eddy current losses (c.1, lines 9-23).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to provide a hightemperature superconductor winding per Lloyd for the superconducting winding of Fritz since

Art Unit: 2834

the high temperature superconductor would have been desirable to reduce winding and eddy current losses and improve efficiency.

Regarding claims 2-3 and 28, the helium in the damper of Fritz is thermally conductive and electrically non-conductive.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed 3-28-02 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that Fritz's damper or thermal reserve is not "on" the winding support. The examiner points out that the damper 13 is "on" the support (corresponding to the enclosure for winding 7) because the damper is necessarily integral with the rotor unit 18, as is the winding 7, the winding support, and the cold shield 29 (Fig.2). Although the damper 13 is shown diagrammatically as being "spaced apart" from the winding support, in practice the damper would be placed radially adjacent or "on" the winding support, with the cold shield placed radially adjacent or "on" the damper. The rotor 18 is an integral unit with each element "on" an element radially interior thereto.

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 25-26 are allowed. The prior art, in particular Lloyd and Fritz, do not teach that the support is electrically isolated from the field winding and further do not teach an AC flux shield concentrically arranged about the field winding.

Claim 31 is allowed. The prior art does not teach a thermal reserve including ATTA which is thermally conductive and electrically nonconductive.

Page 3

Art Unit: 2834

Claims 4-24 and 29-30 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Regarding claims 4-8, the prior art does not teach a thermal reserve comprising ceramic material, alumina, ATTA, Beryllium, electrically conductive material.

Regarding claim 12, the prior art does not teach or suggest banding about the thermal reserve.

Regarding claims 18 and 19, the prior art does not teach an outer layer of thermally non-conductive material arranged concentrically about the thermal reserve.

Regarding claim 30, the prior art, in particular Lloyd and Fritz, do not teach that the thermal reserve suppresses eddy currents.

Conclusion

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

Art Unit: 2834

Page 5

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of

this final action.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Burton S. Mullins whose telephone number is 305-7063. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 9 am to 5 pm. If attempts to reach the

examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nestor Ramirez can be

reached on 308-1371. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or

proceeding is assigned are 305-1341 for regular communications and 305-1341 for After Final

communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 308-0956.

Burton S. Mullins

Primary Examiner Art Unit 2834

bsm

May 23, 2002